

[28th November 1928]

### Land Revenue

#### *Clearance of toddy date palms from vanka porambokes under Sirugappa channel.*

\* 750 Q.—Mr. R. NAGAN GOWDA: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the road and vanka porambokes in the wet land area under Sirugappa channel are overgrown with toddy date palms;

(b) whether it is difficult for carts, buffaloes and even men carrying loads to go on these road porambokes;

(c) whether it is a fact that the vanka porambokes overgrown with these toddy date palms are difficult to be cleared of silt and weeds and that water does not flow freely through them;

(d) what facilities the Government have given to the ryots to clear these porambokes of these plants; and

(e) what steps the Government have themselves taken to clear these porambokes of this growth and help the people to use these porambokes for their rightful purposes?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) A complaint was received from the President, Taluk Board, Sirugappa, to the effect suggested in these questions and the Collector in reporting on the matter after personal inspection stated that the complaint was in some cases well founded.

(d) & (e) The Government directed that the ryots should be permitted to remove under the personal supervision of a responsible officer the fronds and as many of the grown trees as was necessary to effect a reasonable improvement of the paths that were blocked.

#### *Extent and assessment of S. No. 50 in Chinna Hotur village, Alur taluk.*

\* 751 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) the extent and assessment of S. No. 50 in the village of Chinna Hotur, Alur taluk, Bellary district;

(b) whether the whole of it has been under the occupation of Adi-Andhra Chinnanaraingadu first as sivajijama holder and afterwards as pattadar;

(c) the amount collected for the survey field before and after its assignment on patta; and

(d) whether the extent as per accounts is nearly double its actual extent and, if not, what the extent really is on the ground?

A.—(a) The extent of S. No. 50 is 4.85 acres according to the resettlement register of the village. No assessment was fixed on the land at resettlement as it was classed as poramboke.

(b) to (d) The Government have not the details asked for; and do not consider the matter of sufficient importance to call for a report. As however the hon. Member's question suggests there has been some mistake as to the area of the land, a copy of the question and answer will be forwarded to the Collector of the district.